



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/566,206 | 06/05/2006 | Kezhi Qiao | 006980.00001 | 5525 | | |
| 22907 | 7590 | 05/13/2008 | EXAMINER | | | |
| BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051 | | | LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| 2139 | | | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | | | |
| 05/13/2008 | | PAPER | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/566,206 | QIAO ET AL. | |

| | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Christian LaForgia | 2139 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Christian LaForgia.

(3) ____.

(2) Andy Mu (Reg. No. 58,216).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 3

Prior art documents discussed:

ITU H.248

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed amending claim 1 to include claim 3, thereby making the case allowable. The Examiner did not hear back from the attorney of record, and must submit an action at this time.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christian LaForgia/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)